

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,557	10/27/2003	Dirk Luthardt	(Z)01050P US	9164
7	590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER	
M. Robert Ke		ROBINSON, MARK A		
11011 Bermuda Dunes NE Albuquerque, NM 87111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 1 7			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/695,557	LUTHARDT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark A. Robinson	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the second period for reply will, by some same shall be approved by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 June 2004.					
•—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,8 and 10-16</u> is. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7,9,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to. 	☑ Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,9,17 and 18 is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2003</u> is	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I in the reply filed on 6/28/04 is acknowledged. Claims 1,2,4-7,9,17 and 18 read on the elected invention and will be examined as follows. Claims 3,8 and 10-16 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the diametrically opposite spring elements of claim 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Art Unit: 2872

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: It appears that the "further housing portion" at the end of the claim should be referenced by a (1) instead of a (7). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,557 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

5. Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6 "the latch groove" lacks antecedent basis. It is unclear what this references since only latch projections and recesses have been previously recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1,2,6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirasaki 5644441.

Hirasaki shows a latch mechanism having projections (25) on a housing portion (21) which engage associated recesses on a rotatably mounted ring element (31), and also including further latch recesses (39c) and further latch projections (33). Hirasaki also shows spring elements (35) acting in a radial direction,

Application/Control Number: 10/695,557 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

wherein stress energy of the springs is released upon production of the releasable connection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 8,17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirasaki.

Regarding claim 8, Hirasaki does not show the springs to be diametrically opposite, showing three springs spaced at intervals of 120 degrees. However, the provision of an additional spring, yielding four spring elements at intervals of 90 degrees, would have been obvious at the time of invention, since additional spring elements would provide additional stability for the connection elements. Such a modification would satisfy the limitation of diametrically opposite spring elements.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,557 Page 6

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claims 17 and 18, Hirasaki does not teach the connection to be for a telescope and eyepiece. However, it would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to use the connection arrangement shown by Hirasaki with a generic telescope/eyepiece assembly in order to allow for releasable connection of the telescope's eyepiece.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest a latch mechanism including the specific arrangement for the cooperating spring/ring elements as set forth in the combination of claim 4 or 5.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Murray, Newman, and Sato all show releasable connection mechanisms for optical devices.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

9/9/04

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER